PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 0 7 JUL 2004

PCT From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY see form PCT/ISA/220 (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 17.04.2003 05.03.2004 PCT/GB2004/000934 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G01N27/407, G01N33/00 Applicant THE BOC GROUP PLC This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I **Priority** ⊠ Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Klein, M-O

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2736



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000934

_	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard	d to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	langua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of r	naterial:
	□as	sequence listing
	□ tab	ole(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format o	of material:
	□ in v	written format
	□ in o	computer readable form
	c. time of f	filing/furnishing:
	□ со	ntained in the international application as filed.
	☐ file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	has b copie:	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating therefeen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000934

	Вох	No. II	Priority			
- 1.	×	The fol	lowing document has	not been t	furnished:	
•	_	⊠	conv of the earlier at	oplication v	whose prio	rity has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the ear	dier applica	ation whos	e priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Conse				er the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has n that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		This o		olished as	if no priorit	y had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
3.	Ad	Iditional	observations, if neces	ssary:		
3.	Ad	Iditional	observations, if neces	ssary:		
3.					er Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or a supporting such statement
3.					er Rule 43 xplanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement
_	Bo inc		Reasoned stater applicability; citation		er Rule 43/ xplanation	is supporting such otations.
_	Bo inc	ox No. \ dustrial	Reasoned stater applicability; citation	nent unde ons and ex	er Rule 43/ xplanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement 3,5,6,7,8,12,16,17,20,21-23,31,34-37
_	Bo inc	ox No. \ dustrial	Reasoned stater applicability; citation	nent unde ons and ex	xpianation	is supporting such otations.
_	Bo inc . St	ox No. \ dustrial tatement	Reasoned stater applicability; citation	nent unde ons and ex Yes: No:	Claims	3,5,6,7,8,12,16,17,20,21-23,31,34-37
_	Bo inc . St	ox No. \ dustrial tatement	Reasoned stater applicability; citation	nent unde ons and ex Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3,5,6,7,8,12,16,17,20,21-23,31,34-37
_	Bc inn . St	ox No. \ dustrial tatement ovelty (finance)	Reasoned stater applicability; citation	yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims	3,5,6,7,8,12,16,17,20,21-23,31,34-37 1- 4,9,10,11,13,14,15,18,19,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,38-44,45

see separate sheet

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-3 576 730

D2: US-A-5 522 979

D3: US-A-5 331 310

D4: EP-A-0 245 717

D5: US-A-3 655 546

D6: US-A-5 527 446

D7: US-A-6 073 478

D8: EP-A-1 039 292

D9: US-A-4 370 206

D10: GB-A-2 117 121

D11: US-A-5 194 697

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(1) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 40 and 45 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

claim 1:

The document **D1** discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a contaminant molecule sensor (fig. 1) configured for use in a vacuum environment¹, the sensor comprising a measurement electrode (12) comprising a catalyst (Pt-coil 14) selected for its ability to catalyse the dissociation of a contaminant molecule (O₂) into its

these kind of solid electrolyte gas sensors are suitable for the use in vacuum; furthermore, the expression "vacuum" is so broad that it does not limit the scope for which protection is sought.

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB04/00934

ionic species, a reference electrode (13) comprising a catalyst (Pt-coil 16) selected for its ability to catalyse the dissociation of a reference molecule into its ionic species, and a solid-state ionic species conductor (zirconia) bridging the measurement electrode and the reference electrode, the conductor being selected to conduct an ionic species common to the dissociated contaminant and reference molecules, and means for initiating the catalysis of the dissociation of the reference and the contaminant molecules (heater coil 24).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is also disclosed by various other solid-electrolyte gas sensors, e.g. D2 (fig. 1-3, abstract, col. 7, I. 40- col. 10, I. 13)

claim 40:

D1 discloses a method of detecting or monitoring the presence of a contaminant molecule in a monitored environment, the method comprising the steps of providing an electrochemical cell comprising a measurement electrode comprising a catalyst selected for its ability to catalyse the dissociation of a contaminant molecule into its ionic species, a reference electrode comprising a catalyst selected for its ability to catalyse the dissociation of a reference molecule into its ionic species, and a solid-state ionic species conductor bridging the measurement electrode and the reference electrode, the conductor being selected to conduct an ionic species common to the dissociated contaminant and reference molecules, providing, on a side of the cell bounded by the reference electrode, a source of the reference molecules, initiating the catalysis of the reference and contaminant molecules, monitoring a parameter of an electrical current produced in the cell, and, from the monitored parameter, calculating the partial pressure of the contaminant molecule in an environment on the side of the cell bounded by the measurement electrode relative to that on the side of the cell bounded by the reference electrode.

The subject-matter of claim 40 is also disclosed by various other documents (see search report).

claim 45:

D5 discloses the use of an electrochemical sensor to detect or monitor the presence of contaminant molecule in a vacuum environment.

(2) The following is said for dependent claims:

The claims do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step, see the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

The embodiments mostly relate either to the various catalysts of the electrodes or the ion-conductors themselves, or the reference materials. These features, however, are merely standard features in that field and are adapted by the skilled person in order to detect various gases.

claims 2-7: heater and temperature sensor details, disclosed in various documents or obvious for those skilled in the art.

claim 8: It is not clear where the vacuum feed-through connection is fed through and what the advantage of that feature is with respect to normal sealed conductive tracks of standard solid-electrolytic gas sensors as **D1** or **D2**.

claim 9,11: The additional feature of a seal is already disclosed in D1 (abstract, (34)). The expression "seals for connection to a vacuum environment" is not clear. A seal seals the reference environment from the outside. It is also unclear what "at least partly bound by the reference electrode" of claim 11 means,

claim 10: The additional feature is already disclosed in **D2** (fig. 1,3, (40))

claim 12: constructional detail of sensor (Art. 33(3)PCT).

claims 13,15,18:D1 (Nickel/Nickel oxide reference electrodes, abstract).

claim 14: H+ ion conductor and solid state source is selected from a metal (Ag) in case that the gas to be measured is hydrogen see **D7** (Art. 33(3)PCT).

claims 16,17: adaption of electrode materials for different detection gases (Art. 33(3)PCT).

claims 19,20: see **D8** Ag+ ion conductors with Ag-salt as solid state source; (Art. 33(3)PCT).

claims 21,22: D3 (fig. 1, col. 3-5)(Art. 33(3)PCT).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB04/00934

claim 23:

the additional feature of an organic liquid as a liquid state source does

not involve inventive merit (Art. 33(3)PCT).

claims 24:

see D1 (Art. 33(3)PCT).

claims 25,26:

see D7.

claims 27,28:

see D1.

claims 29-31: see D8.

claims 32,33:

see D1.

claims 34-37:

various catalyst combinations common in that field and dependent on

the gas to be detected (see **D9**)(Art. 33(3)PCT).

claims 38,39,41,42,43,44: see D1.

(3) Miscellaneous

- The features of claims 1 and 40 are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- A document reflecting the prior art described on page 12 and fig. 1, is not identified in the description (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT).
- Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.
- the back reference of claim 10 appears to be false. Claim 10 should have a reference solely to claim 3.